CALIFORNIA WATER QUALITY MONITORING COUNCIL

Monitoring Council Meeting Agenda

Wednesday, October 13, 2010 - 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM

Large Conference Room Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP) 3535 Harbor Blvd., Suite 110, Costa Mesa



IMPORTANT INFORMATION!!

Meetings of the Monitoring Council are open to the public. Times indicated in the agenda are approximate. The order of agenda items is subject to change. Actions of the Monitoring Council are advisory to the Secretaries of the California Environmental Protection Agency and the Natural Resources Agency.

Handouts, presentations, and related meeting and Monitoring Council information will be available prior to or after the meeting at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/mywaterquality/monitoring_council/.

Remote access to the meeting will be available via WebEx. To join the WebEx on-line meeting, go to https://waterboards.webex.com/waterboards/j.php?ED=139572167&UID=0&PW=NZDE1ODFhNDIl&RT=MiM0; enter your name and email address; enter the meeting password "cwqmc"; click "Join Now" and follow the instructions that appear on your screen. You may log on up to 15 minutes before the meeting starts to allow WebEx to set up the meeting connection. WebEx will automatically set up Meeting Manager the first time you join a meeting. Participation by teleconference only is available by calling 1-888-764-9721and entering the attendee access code 363 618 0.

For a map to SCCWRP, driving directions, and accommodations information, visit www.sccwrp.org/ContactUs.aspx. Individuals who require special accommodations are requested to contact the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project at (714) 755-3200.

ITEM:	1	Approx. Time:	
Title of Topic:	INTRODUCTIONS AND H	OUSEKEEPING	10 minutes
Purpose:	 Introductions Review draft notes from August 11, 2010 Council meeting Review agenda for today's meeting 		
Desired Outcome:	a) Approve June, 2010 Monitoring Council meeting notesb) Preview what will be presented today and overall meeting expectationsc) Adjust today's agenda, as needed		
Attachments:	Draft notes from August 11, 2010 Council meeting		
Contact Person:	Jon Marshack jmarshack@waterboards.ca.gov, 916-341-5514		

ITEM:	2	Approx. Time:	
Title of Topic:	ANNOUNCEMENTS AND UPDATES	10 minutes	
Purpose:	State budget update (Jonathan Bishop)		
	2) Riparian mapping for Central Valley Flood Protection Plan (Eric Stein)		
Desired Outcome:	1) Information		

Background:	Wetland and Riparian Mapping for the Central Valley Flood Protection Plan		
	from the Wetland Mon use of the WRAMP rip	O meeting, the Monitoring Council supported the request itoring Workgroup for the Natural Resources Agency to arian mapping tool in development of the Central Valley See Item 2 in the notes from the August 11, 2010 seting.	
Contact Person:	Jon Marshack	jmarshack@waterboards.ca.gov, 916-341-5514	

ITEM:	3	Approx. Time:	
Title of Topic:	WATER QUALITY ASSESSME	NT – 303(d)/305(b)	60 minutes
Purpose:	Brief Council Members on t Integrated Assessment Rep	he process of developing the Water port.	Board's
Desired Outcome:	Information and discussion		
Background:	Clean Water Act Section 303(d) requires that every two years, states and tribes develop lists of water bodies that do not meet water quality standards (impaired water bodies). Listing a water body as impaired in California is governed by the Water Quality Control Policy for developing California's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listing Policy. Clean Water Act Section 305(b) requires states and tribes to periodically develop a report on the quality of waters within their jurisdictions. In California, the Water Boards develop both lists. Beginning with the 2010 California assessment, these reports have been combined into a single "Integrated Report". The 2010 Integrated Report was approved by the State Water Board in August of this year. Approval by USEPA is required before these listings become final. Additional information on California's Integrated Report is on the State Water Board website.		
	Two of the <i>My Water Quality</i> portals, Safe to Eat Fish and Shellfish and Safe to Swim, include web pages that present impaired waters information.		
	During the August 11, 2010 Monitoring Council meeting, Council Members raised the issue that data from Natural Resources Agency sources are not necessarily used in current 303(d) listing process by Water Boards, that Natural Resources Agency data would make listings more robust. Jonathan Bishop indicated that it may be better to focus such an effort on 305(b) assessments.		
Attachments:	Fact Sheet, 2010 Integrated Report on Water Quality with Web-Based Interactive Map, April 2010 State Water Board Integrated Report website Safe to Swim portal – impaired waters page Safe to Eat Fish and Shellfish portal – impaired waters page		
Contact Person:	Shakoora Azimi-Gaylon sagaylon@waterboards.ca.gov, 916-341-5508		

ITEM:	4 Approx			
Title of Topic:	SAFE TO DRINK PORTAL	45 minutes		
Purpose:	Review beta version of	portal		
Desired Outcome:	Direction on portal deve	lopment and public release		
Background:	The Safe to Drink portal, focusing on groundwater, is one of the four portals identified by the Monitoring Council for initial development, based on existing efforts that had already made significant progress. The four initial portals are intended to be used as proof of concept of the Monitoring Council's December 2008 recommendations to the Agency Secretaries.			
		the existing <u>GeoTracker GAMA informa</u> y separate statutory mandates.	tion system that	
	At the May 2009 Monitoring Council meeting, Water Board staff made a presentation on the GeoTracker GAMA information system and proposed a concept for a Safe to Drink portal. The Monitoring Council directed staff to provide a more detailed mock-up of Safe-to-Drink portal for Monitoring Council comment and approval and to define assessment questions and existing data sources, add higher level statistics from GAMA program and others (e.g. nitrate data), and include what is being done to improve groundwater quality.			
	At the February 2010 meeting, the Monitoring Council reviewed a mockup of the Safe to Drink portal and directed staff to develop a working draft of the "Safe to Drinking, Groundwater" portal, to add statewide assessment statistics, e.g. as canned queries generated by GeoTracker GAMA, and to a add color key in the legend.			
	Key questions for the Monitoring Council to consider are:			
	Does the portal meet the Monitoring Council's guidelines?			
	Does the portal sufficiently address earlier Monitoring Council direction?			
	 Are the assessment questions presented in the portal appropriate? 			
	 Are the presented data and information readily understandable and appropriate to address each question? 			
	Is sufficient back	ground information presented?		
	Is the portal ready for public release?			
Attachments:	View the beta version of the Safe to Drink portal user name = cwqmc; password = council Note: This beta version is considered DRAFT and has not been approved for public release. Monitoring Council, <u>Guidelines for Workgroups and the Development of My</u> Water Quality Theme-Based Internet Portals, September 23, 2010			
		bruary 10, 2010 Monitoring Council me		
	Meeting notes of the May 22, 2009 Monitoring Council meeting (see item 4)			
Contact Person:	Jon Marshack jmarshack@waterboards.ca.gov, 916-341-5514			

ITEM:	5 Approx. T		
Title of Topic:	AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM HE	120 minutes	
Purpose:		nd function of workgroups, portals, and o	
	presentation on the	f the San Francisco Estuary Institute wil proposal to develop an Aquatic Atlas da tilized by all aquatic ecosystem health w	ata management
		e California Ocean Protection Council s to develop an ocean portal	should be asked
Desired Outcome:	Direction on how this the	eme should be addressed	
Background:	Aquatic ecosystem health is one of five primary questions presented in the Monitoring Council's <i>My Water Quality</i> website. The Monitoring Council approved dividing this theme into five portals – estuaries, lakes, streams & rivers, ocean, and wetlands. The Monitoring Council has approved coordination with the California Wetland Monitoring Workgroup; their California Wetlands Portal addresses this theme for wetlands. The Monitoring Council has also approved formation of the Healthy Streams Partnership and tasked that workgroup with developing a stream health portal, a mockup of which was approved at the June 9, 2010 Monitoring Council meeting.		
	At the August 2010 meeting, the Monitoring Council directed staff to conceptualize alternatives to address the aquatic ecosystem health theme for next meeting, to outline potential strategies and approaches to integrate biological monitoring components into ecosystem health workgroups and to address portal navigation and workgroup interaction. In addition, the Monitoring Council instructed staff to not stop working on healthy streams and estuaries workgroups. Item 6 of this agenda presents an estuary workgroup and portal proposal.		
	Brock Bernstein has developed an <u>Ecosystem Portal Options</u> paper that addresses portal and workgroup structure, assessment approaches, and data management. Josh Collins of the California Wetland Monitoring Workgroup has proposed that all ecosystem health themes utilize a common Aquatic Atlas data management tool.		
Attachments:	My Water Quality website Are Our Aquatic Ecosystems Healthy? page		
	Meeting notes of the August 11, 2010 Monitoring Council meeting (see item 3)		
	Ecosystem Portal Options paper		
Contact Person:	Brock Bernstein	brockbernstein@sbcglobal.net, 805-64	46-8369

ITEM:	6	Approx. Time:
Title of Topic:	ESTUARY WORKGROUP AND PORTAL	45 minutes
Purpose:	Formation of California Estuary Monitoring Workgroup and development of a California Estuaries Portal, initially focusing on the San Francisco Bay-Delta	

Desired Outcome:	Approval to form workgroup and develop portal		
Background:	For the <i>My Water Quality</i> website, the Monitoring Council approved dividing the theme <i>Are Our Aquatic Ecosystems Healthy?</i> into five portal categories, one of which would focus on estuaries.		
	At the July 2009 and August 2010 Monitoring Council meetings, Anke Mueller-Solger made presentations on the Interagency Ecological Program (IEP) that focuses on the health of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta ecosystem. Additional presentations were made at the August 2010 meeting regarding Natural Resources Agency programs, some of which also focus on the Delta. At that meeting, Richard Breuer made a presentation on IEP data management. Additional background is presented in the <i>Proposal to Develop a California Estuaries Portal</i> .		
Attachments:	My Water Quality website <u>Are Our Aquatic Ecosystems Healthy? page</u> Proposal to Develop a California Estuaries Portal		
	Meeting notes of the August 11, 2010 Monitoring Council meeting (see item 3) Meeting notes of the July 27, 2009 Monitoring Council meeting (see item 3)		
Contact Person:	Jon Marshack jmarshack@waterboards.ca.gov, 916-341-5514		

ITEM:	7	Approx. Time:	
Title of Topic:	COMPREHENSIVE MONITORING PROGRAM STRATEGY	30 minutes	
Purpose:	Review the latest draft of the Comprehensive Monitoring Progra	am Strategy	
Desired Outcome:	Comment and direction on finalizing the strategy		
Background:	The strategy is required by <u>California Senate Bill 1070 (Kehoe, 2006)</u> and the <u>Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)</u> between Cal/EPA and Natural Resources. Each of these documents provides specific direction on the content of the strategy.		
	The Monitoring Council considered the March 2010 draft of the strategy at its June 2010 meeting and provided specific comments and direction.		
	The Monitoring Council requested briefings with the two Agency Secretaries and with key legislators prior to finalizing the strategy. Agency Secretary briefing have occurred and both Secretaries provided support for the direction of the strategy. A briefing with Senator Kehoe's staff is scheduled for October 21.		
	The Monitoring Council is requested to provide direction on the following issues:		
	Should the Agency Secretaries be asked to sign off on the state of the state o	strategy?	
	Should the Strategy identify next steps, and if so, which one	es?	
	 Does the new strategy section on grant project monitoring accurately reflect the Monitoring Council's recommendations? 		
	Do recommendations accurately reflect the Monitoring Council's consensus?		
	What issues/items should be stressed in the I transmittal ett	er?	

Attachments:	California Senate Bill 1070 [see Section 13181(a) and (e)]		
	MOU between Cal/EPA and Natural Resources		
	Meeting notes of the June 9, 2010 Monitoring Council meeting (see item 6)		
	Comprehensive Monitoring Program Strategy - 9/23/10 Draft		
Contact Person:	Brock Bernstein	brockbernstein@sbcglobal.net, 805-646-8369	

ITEM:	8	Approx. Time:	
Title of Topic:	2010 MONITORING COUN	30 minutes	
Purpose:	Report to Agency Secre	etaries, due by end of 2010	
Desired Outcome:	 Direction on content and emphasis of the progress report Direction on additional interaction with Agency Secretaries 		
Background:	In the transmittal letter to its December 2008 Recommendations, the Monitoring Council agreed to deliver annual progress reports to the Agency Secretaries.		
	The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between Cal/EPA and Natural Resources includes the following under State Agency Responsibilities, "The Secretaries will meet annually to review the Monitoring Council's progress in integrating and coordinating water quality monitoring programs with state, local, and federal agencies, and with the public to identify additional opportunities for progress."		
	The Monitoring Council is requested to provide direction on the following issues:		
	 Articulation of the relationship between the Council and the Secretaries, as requested by the MOU 		
	 Additional feedback process that involves the Secretaries in addition to the Monitoring Council's annual report, such as annual briefings 		
Attachments:	2009 Annual Progress Report of the Water Quality Monitoring Council		
	<u>Transmittal Letter</u> to the December 2008 Monitoring Council Recommendations <u>MOU</u> between Cal/EPA and Natural Resources		
Contact Person:	Jon Marshack jmarshack@waterboards.ca.gov, 916-341-5514		

ITEM:	9		Approx. Time:
Title of Topic:	MEETING WRAP-UP		10 minutes
Purpose:	a) Summarize meetingb) Plan agenda for next Council meeting on December 8, 2010 in Sacramento		
Desired Outcome:	Develop agenda items for December 8 meeting		
Contact Person:	Jon Marshack jmarshack@waterboards.ca.gov, 916-341-5514		